Case 1:19-cr-00605-VM Document 40 Filed (4/13/21 Page 1 of 3 USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

DATE FILED:

4/13/21

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

:

19 CR 605 (VM)

-against-

:

ORDER

DRAGOMIR CERANIC,

-1 - -- +-

Defendant.

-----X

VICTOR MARRERO, U.S.D.J.:

Counsel for Defendant, with the consent of counsel for Government (see Attached Letter), requests that the Court conduct a plea remotely (via teleconference or videoconference) under Section 15002(b) of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), which allows for felony plea proceedings to be held remotely only when the district judge "in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice." See also In re Coronavirus/COVID-19 Pandemic, Second Amended Standing Order re: Video Teleconferencing and Telephone Conferencing for Criminal Proceedings, 20 Misc. 176, Dkt. No. 3, at 3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 16 2020) (authorizing the use remote proceedings for felony pleas only upon a finding by the presiding judge that the "proceeding cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice.").

The Court is persuaded that the plea in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. Further delay of his plea proceeding will prevent the Defendant from availing himself of the Government's plea offer and from resolving this matter expeditiously. Additionally, it is not certain when the Court will be able to conduct the Defendant's plea in person. Conducting the Defendant's plea remotely therefore "promotes judicial economy." Cohen, 2020 WL 2539115, at *2. It "preempt[s] the parties' [potential] motions to this Court requesting further scheduling changes." Id.; United States v. Portolyoni, No. 09 CR 674, 2020 WL 5604047, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 18, 2020). It also avoids adding to "the existing backlog of cases in the federal court system" and the "deluge of [requests for] hearings once in-person proceedings can safely resume." Cohen, 2020 WL 2539115, at *2. Therefore, the Court authorizes the magistrate court to proceed remotely in this matter.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York 13 April 2021

> Victor Marrero U.S.D.J.

Federal Defenders OF NEW YORK, INC.

Southern District 52 Duane Street-10th Floor, New York, NY 10007 Tel: (212) 417-8700 Fax: (212) 571-0392

David E. Patton
Executive Director
and Attorney-in-Chief

Southern District of New York Jennifer L. Brown Attorney-in-Charge

April 12, 2021

BY ECF AND EMAIL

Honorable Victor Marrero United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 1610 New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Dragomir Ceranic
19 Cr. 605 (VM)

Dear Judge Marrero:

This letter is respectfully submitted on behalf of my client, Dragomir Ceranic, with the consent of the Government, by Jason M. Swergold, Esq. The parties have entered into a plea agreement, and Mr. Ceranic is prepared to enter a guilty plea pursuant to that agreement. Mr. Ceranic is prepared for a change of plea hearing to take place on April 16, 2021, the next scheduled conference date, or any date convenient to the Court.

Mr. Ceranic requests that his guilty plea be done remotely. The Government agrees that a remote plea is warranted under the CARES Act in light of the continuing threat of COVID-19 and Mr. Ceranic's desire to reach a resolution of the charges as quickly as possible. Mr. Ceranic is currently released on bail and it is likely that counsel will seek a sentence of Time Served.

Respectfully submitted, /S/ Robert M. Baum Assistant Federal Defender

SO ORDERED:

HONORABLE Victor Marrero
United States District Judge

cc: Jason M. Swergold, Esq. Assistant United States Attorney